

Extcno1 Kxindex Radical StrokeFstroke	Gly No1 No1source	Notes	Comments
03348	𪗇 c	Glyph error	move to glph error set
03864	𪗈 c	Glyph error	move to glph error set
07109	𪗉 5 c&kp	Wrong glyph? The upper left part may be the same as that of U+05B7C.	move to glyph error set
05271	𪗊 C(K1123.33)	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
03348	𪗋 C(zfy00228)	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
03864	𪗌 C(zjw00154)	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
22235	𪗍 JMK66147	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
07109	𪗎 KP1-3E09	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	𪗏 KP1-441D	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	𪗐 KP1-510B	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
13987	𪗑 MAC00007	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
13863	𪗒 MAC00008	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
12429	𪗓 MAC00009	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
07122	𪗔 MAC00012	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	

Extcno1 Kxindex Radical StrokeFstroke	Gly No1 No1source	Notes	Comments
18184	菹 MAC00013	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
15747	罍 MAC00014	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
07097	嬪 MAC00015	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
21045	鈺 MAC00016	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
12634	灑 MAC00017	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
02940	勛 TC-3828	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
17509	皇 TD-305F	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11282	檣 TD-5D4B	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
21759	闔 TD-662C	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11369	楨 TD-6B33	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
13335	穰 TE-4B3A	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
23857	鷺 TE-4B44	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
00293	丩 UTC00001	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
04029	囁 UTC00004	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
00062	丙 UTC00006	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	

09409	扌	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00042		
17816	蒯	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00048		
21476	铼	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00052		
00228	凸	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00087		
09465	扌	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00088		
02560	囧	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00090		
14848	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00095		
22954	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00096		
22700	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00097		
06332	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00098		
14526	盆	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00101		
22164	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00105		
11756	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00106		
15723	邃	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00111		
10402	𪛗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00113		

09408	𠄎	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00114		
23863	𠄏	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00117		
00080	𠄐	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00124		
11760	𠄑	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00126		
02738	𠄒	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00127		
02607	𠄓	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00128		
00695	𠄔	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00130		
11118	𠄕	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00131		
10927	𠄖	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00132		
11586	𠄗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00133		
07673	𠄘	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00134		
08827	𠄙	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00137		
14402	𠄚	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00138		
14354	𠄛	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00139		
00099	𠄜	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00140		

08056	嶸	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00141		
08266	卒	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00144		
14392	痛	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00145		
12720	潛	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00146		
05371	𠃉	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00147		
11936	𠃊	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00148		
09351	𠃋	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00149		
18982	𠃌	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00154		
24220	𠃍	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00157		
06354	𠃎	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00158		
20366	𠃏	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00159		
07898	𠃐	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00160		
09917	𠃑	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00162		
10941	𠃒	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00163		
06649	𠃓	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00164		

11702	柴	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00165		
12677	潢	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00170		
12732	漈	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00173		
14553	漈	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00176		
14475	滯	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00177		
14052	璣	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00178		
15714	齧	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00179		
16172	籟	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00180		
14503	皴	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00182		
16551	縵	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00184		
14813	囉	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00186		
17072	穢	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00187		
09926	敲	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00188		
09928	敲	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00195		
19413	諄	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00201		

19499	譙	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00202</p>			
19927	贖	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00204</p>			
09920	戠	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00206</p>			
21253	鏡	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00207</p>			
22222	雷	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00209</p>			
23158	鬚	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00214</p>			
11663	歆	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00218</p>			
08095	荒	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00219</p>			
14606	鹽	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00221</p>			
16639	繁	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00222</p>			
22663	飜	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00223</p>			
06589	奮	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00224</p>			
03509	声	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00225</p>			
09343	裁	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00226</p>			
07478	尋	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
<p>UTC00227</p>			

11461	橿	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00228		
11553	橙	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00229		
11253	𪗇	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00230		
12725	潤	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00232		
15440	𪗈	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00235		
03043	𪗉	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00236		
23205	𪗊	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00237		
15421	𪗋	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00238		
16133	𪗌	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00239		
02786	𪗍	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00241		
18545	𪗎	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00243		
06682	𪗏	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00244		
17224	𪗐	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00251		
07663	𪗑	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00253		
16364	𪗒	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00254		

03573	厚	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00256		
01441	御	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00257		
02608	羽	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00259		
03263	楷	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00261		
08245	執	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00262		
07172	孳	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00263		
22455	顛	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00265		
08241	韶	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00266		
08242	詢	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00267		
09231	慳	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00269		
09318	威	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00270		
10156	破	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00272		
07533	窺	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00274		
07601	屍	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00276		
07720	巽	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00277		

06423	𤇗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00280		
13639	𤇘	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00281		
10169	𤇙	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00282		
08882	𤇚	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00283		
17693	𤇛	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00284		
07461	𤇜	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00286		
07462	𤇝	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00287		
03683	𤇞	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00291		
16890	𤇟	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00294		
12462	𤇠	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00296		
06850	𤇡	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00297		
08804	𤇢	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00298		
18605	𤇣	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00300		
06472	𤇤	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00301		
15627	𤇥	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00303		

02318	决	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00304		
06636	焜	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00305		
05644	弑	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00306		
09931	耀	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00308		
10131	頰	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00311		
21969	陪	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00312		
16346	籟	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00313		
17374	腴	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00314		
20686	邈	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00316		
19826	贞	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00317		
00047	东	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00318		
20735	邈	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00319		
17370	膾	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00320		
18432	募	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00321		
13526	犗	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00322		

24355	爿龜	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	UTC00325		
05132	呆猪	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4435		
06541	彙	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4534		
06653	叕	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4546		
07467	寂復	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-462E		
07496	寻那	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4635		
07670	𠄎	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4672		
08456	廣充	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4763		
08463	廣卓	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4768		
08464	廣青	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4769		
08467	廣冥	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-476A		
08468	廣逐	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-476B		
08469	廣速	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-476C		
08470	廣霍	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-476D		
10253	於爰	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
	V04-4A33		

Extcno1 Kxindex Radical StrokeFstroke	Gly No1	Notes	Comments
11339	椽 V04-4B44	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11421	枯但 V04-4B54	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11504	檣 V04-4B61	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11658	欲闷 V04-4B65	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
11720	歲奴 V04-4B6F	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
14603	盧个 V04-4E7A	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
18991	蠟 V04-5379	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
19174	初笈 V04-5437	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
22075	阿束負 V04-5658	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	
23460	魚蘭 V04-5775	Glyphs listed below are still unclear and/or too small to examine the detail. They all should be removed from Extension C1 candidates as mentioned in the item #4 of Mr. Sekiguchi's post on 21th July.	