The CJK_C1 editorial group met on Nov. 30, 2005, at IRG #25. Editors are from China, Japan, R.O.Korea, Vietnam, USA, Hong Kong SAR, TCA and Unicode Consortium.

The editors agreed to keep and update an internal list of errors found in CJK, CJK_A and CJK_B is necessary. Editors also agreed:

- The IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list should contain not only the errors confirmed by editors but also the original error reports from the editors, as well as the detailed reasons for the results of discussion among the editors.
- The IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list should be updated dynamically according to the newly submitted error reports and discussion results made by the editors.
- The IRG chief editor is responsible for maintaining the IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list.
- A concise error list based on the IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list should be generated and submitted to WG2 by the IRG chief editor when it is required by WG2 resolution or IRG resolution.

The editors reviewed and discussed a draft recording format for CJK(+A&B) errors (IRG N1164), and agreed to submit error reports and maintain the IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list with the modified format. For detail of the modified format, read the attachment 1 please.
Attachment: Recording Format of CJK(+A&B) Errors

The recording format described in this document should be used for both of the IRG editors’ CJK(+A&B) reports and The IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list. It can be improved later if IRG editors require so.

For table use:

- When editors are submitting reports relating to characters duplicated or might be unified, Table 1 should be used.
- When editors are submitting reports relating to characters might be dis-unified, Table 2 should be used.
- When editors are submitting reports relating to glyphs with errors, Table 3 should be used.
Table 1: for characters duplicated or should be unified

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>UCS code point 1</th>
<th>Glyph 1 in ISO/IEC 10646: 2003</th>
<th>Source References</th>
<th>UCS code point 2</th>
<th>Glyph 2 in ISO/IEC 10646: 2003</th>
<th>Source References</th>
<th>Rational provided by submitter</th>
<th>Conclusion (Y or N drawn by all editors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- U 0001</td>
<td>219B1</td>
<td>鵝</td>
<td>G_HZ</td>
<td>219AD</td>
<td>鵝</td>
<td>T7-4D52</td>
<td>Because … …, see Annex S…. …. etc.</td>
<td>Yes. Reason: … …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- U 0002</td>
<td>27729</td>
<td>褥</td>
<td>G_KX T7-3B5F</td>
<td>04666</td>
<td>褥</td>
<td>褥</td>
<td>Because … …, see Annex S…. …. etc.</td>
<td>Yes. Reason: … …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- U 0003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since some glyphs had been corrected in **ISO/IEC 10646: 2003**, i.e. may be different to the original glyphs in **SuperCJK 14.0**, glyphs in **ISO/IEC 10646: 2003** should be used in the table.

The UCS code point 1 should be larger than the UCS code point 2. It means that the character in the right was encoded
before the left one, therefore, the left one should be unified to it.

The source references in this table are optional. They are used to make the IRG members of the sources pay more attention to their characters. They can be found in the CJKC_SR.txt and CJKU_SR.text in the CDROM of ISO/IEC 10646: 2003.

Table 2: for characters should be dis-unified

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no.</th>
<th>UCS code point</th>
<th>Glyph in ISO/IEC 10646: 2003</th>
<th>Glyphs should be dis-unified.</th>
<th>Glyphs are from</th>
<th>Rational provided by submitter</th>
<th>Conclusion (Y or N drawn by all editors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- D 0001</td>
<td>239E2</td>
<td>弇</td>
<td>弇 弇</td>
<td>Super CJK 14, 239E2</td>
<td>Because … …, see Annex S….. …, etc.</td>
<td>Yes. Reason: … …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- D 0002</td>
<td>239E2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: for glyphs with errors

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no.</th>
<th>UCS code point</th>
<th>Current glyph in ISO/IEC 10646: 2003</th>
<th>Suggested glyph</th>
<th>Preceding Glyphs are from</th>
<th>Rational provided by submitter</th>
<th>Conclusion (Y or N) drawn by all editors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- G 0001</td>
<td>25016</td>
<td>蜟 蜰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxx- G 0002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Super CJK 14, 25016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes. Reason: … …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

- The error report submitter should request for a document number at least 1 week before submission and make sure the document number is given by the IRG Rapporteur.
- “xxxx” in column “serial No.” means IRG Nxxxx of the original error report from the submitter.
- Every serial number in the above 3 tables should be unique, it should be kept with no change in the updating IRG internal CJK(+A&B) error list.
- The column “Conclusion (Y or N) drawn by all editors” should be kept empty when editors are submitting their error reports. Other columns in the table should be filled by editors.
The column “Rationale provided by submitter” should be filled in detail as much as possible, such as “according to Annex S, compatibility ideographs”, etc., not “they should be unified… …” or something else only.

The submitted error reports should be Microsoft Word documents.

Caution: The UCS code points in tables may not match glyph images by mistake. Edit and review error reports carefully.