HKSAR Comments on IRG N1656—CJK Ideographs of BMP

(Group 1: U+4E00 to U+81FF)

U+524F

0524F  麤 麿 麿 麿
KP1-37DC GE-2339  T3-2B43  J0-516C  K2-246B

The H-glyph (H-87BC) is missing.

Similar omissions of H-glyphs include the following:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>U+544C—H-87C5</td>
<td>11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>U+57B3—H-87C3</td>
<td>12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>U+5818—H-87C8</td>
<td>13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>U+62C1—H-87DD</td>
<td>15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>U+6782—H-87BA</td>
<td>17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>U+6A29—H-87B6</td>
<td>18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>U+73C4—H-87BF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The V-glyph is different from its old shape (middle part).

A reference may be U+559C:

The corresponding V-glyph is (i.e. vs above the bottom ).
Regarding the K-glyph, are '十' and '一' unfiable (the component above the bottom)?

Cf

Shall '十' be just plus '一' joined together?
U+5829

05829 堵 堵 堵
KP1-3BE0 GE-256D T2-3A61 K2-2A57

Cf old version:

088/041 5829 堵 堵 堵
E-256D 2-3A61 2-2A57 2-1055

The G-glyph and T-glyph are not unifiable with the K-glyph. Please refer to the ‘not unifiable’ example in Annex S (S.1.4.3 Different Structure of a Corresponding Component).

U+5B76

05B76 署 署 署 署
KP1-3E34 GE-2753 H-FBC5 T3-4072 K1-6A72

The T-glyph is different from its old shape. Are the upper half component and unifiable?
The V-glyph \texttt{V1-525D} is different from its old shape \texttt{1-5061}. Are 丰 and 王 (the middle component) unifiable?

The V-glyph \texttt{V1-5275} is different from its old shape \texttt{1-5085}. Are 又 and 三 (the right lower part) unifiable?

Cf.
The V-glyph is different from its old shape. Are 死 and 步 (the part enclosed by 尸) unifiable?

The V-glyph is different from its old shape. Are 丰 in the G-glyph and 主 in the T-, J- and K-glyphs unifiable with 王 in the V-glyph?
Are the upper right component ‘面’ and ‘面’ unifiable?

The T-glyph is different from its old shape. Are the lower right component 干 and 几 unifiable?
China has modified its glyph from 步 to 步 and TCA has introduced a new glyph 步. Are the upper middle component 步 in the G- and T-glyphs unifiable with 步 in the J-glyph?

And will Japan consider to modify its glyph 步 to align with J1-4876 (the right part), as shown in U+6FAF below?
For the G-, T- and K-glyphs, the right component is 爽 (i.e. one 大 with four 大). But for the V-glyph 爭, it is one 大 with four 人. Is the V-glyph unifiable with the rest?

The V-glyph 于 is not unifiable with 工 in the G-, T-, J- and K-glyphs.
In the V-glyph, the top right component looks like 儿 instead of 人 as found in the G-, T-, J- and K-glyphs.

The T-glyph is different from its old shape. Are the right component 又 and 义 unifiable?