Regarding IRG N1757, Japan National body requests UTC to submit more detailed estimation of the merits and demerits of the proposal.

At present, UTS #37 just refers ISO/IEC 10646 Annex S, so it is expected to that IVS does not give same base characters to the shapes with too significant differences. The differences between the simplified form and the traditional form are often recognized as too significant.

In existing CJK Unified Ideographs: URO, Extension A, B, C and D, they are separately coded. Extension E draft (IRG N1830) includes many simplified forms proposed by China and US. They are proposed to be coded separately with the consideration of the consistency of the distinct between simplified and traditional forms.

Due to the lack of the estimation of the merit and demerits of the proposal, Japan national body keeps the position to separate the simplified and traditional forms as different characters.
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