

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N 2280

Date: 2018-05-17

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2/
Ideographic Rapporteur Group

Source / Contribution Identifier: Japan

Meeting: IRG#50 @ Beijing

Title: Japan Activity Report (May 2018)

Keywords: (none)

Status: For your information

Short Description: This paper summarizes activities of Japanese National Body

Proposed Conclusion / Requested Action: for review

Arguments / Text of Contribution: See attachments.

Japan Activity Report (May 2018)

1. Attendance at the international meetings

Two experts attended the IRG #49 @ San Jose, USA in October.

2. Registration of additional sequences in the Moji_Joho collection

Additional sequences in the Moji_Joho collection was successfully registered:

<http://www.unicode.org/ivd/pri/pri354/>

<http://www.unicode.org/ivd/data/2017-12-12>

3. IRG Working Set 2015 Review and CJK Unified Ideograph Extension G in ISO/IEC 10646:2014/Amd2:2017 PDAM2.2 ballot

Japan reviewed CJK Unified Ideograph Extension G in ISO/IEC 10646:2014/Amd. 2 PDAM 2.2 ballot text with the highest priority. It was expected that Ext. G and WS2015 version 5 are identical, but some differences were found. The result was posted as SC2 N4584. As explained in the document, Japan has a concern about the quality. Japan requests IRG to submit sufficiently stabilized document to WG2, as we believe making 2 reviews in parallel (IRG WS20xx final version are being reviewed by IRG members, and “ought-to-be-identical” CJK Unified Ideograph Extension yy” in the ballot are being reviewed by WG2 P-members) is not a good idea.

4. IRG Working Set 2017 Review

Japan reviewed IRG Working Set 2017. Comparing with IRG WS2015, the distribution of the materials to be reviewed are slightly confused; some materials are not distributed, and the glyph chart included some withdrawn characters. Some improvement is needed in the process from the preliminary proposal to the updated/finalized proposal.

Also, because of short review period after the distribution of updated working set, Japanese experts used a machine learning system to compare the proposed glyphs against the ones from Moji-Joho-Kiban project with technical support of Fuji Xerox and Fuji Xerox System Service. It was useful to compare WS2017 glyph with Moji_Joho glyph, and spot the candidates of the unifications. An individual feedback to IRG N2270 will show some outcome from the system as an example.

(end of document)