ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2/IRGN2335

2018-09-09

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation Internationale de Normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

Doc Type: Ideographic Rapporteur Group Document

Title: Request to create the IRG Working Set Final Evidence Document

Source: Eiso Chan(陈永聪)

Status: Individual Contribution to IRG #51, Hà Nội, Việt Nam

Action: For consideration by IRG

Date: 2018-09-09

In the IRG WS2017 v2.0 review works, HKSARG pointed out the evidences for some of the 39 Zhuang characters which were postponed in WS2015 are not found in the evidence file.

05034	乍 鸟		196.1 鳥	Evidence	Previously included in WS2015, G-PGLG3051 is postponed due to
	G_PGLG3051		□乍鸟		unclear evidence. Yet, it is not found in the evidence file for
			5 10		WS2017 and its design is still in question.
					moved to ws2017, irg50. postponed for unclear evidence, irg46.

In fact, China has resubmitted the evidences as parts of IRGN2309ChinaCorrection DataFiles.zip, and they once submitted the additional evidences in IRGN2223PostponedV40-China-Zhuang-response.pdf and IRGN2115_Appendix7_1268_Zhuang_Evidences.pdf as well. In the IRG WS2015 v5.0 review works, SAT pointed out the evidences for some UTC-Submitted characters are unclear, but UTC has provided the clearer evidences before this round in fact.

00128	泉 UTC-01202	襲十萬璟 三曆	Evidence unclear. Too small to identify some strokes. Is there any supporting evidence?
-------	----------------	------------	--

I understand that the current SAT reviewer, Mr. Yifan Wang, began his review works since IRG #48, he didn't know what UTC had updated before this meeting and no any document could remind him of these entirely.

During the IRG working set review works, the submitters would change the evidence, provide the clearer or additional evidence, and other reviewers or experts could provide other evidence for the questionable ones, for example, Mr. John Knightley once provided the evidences for several GCYY-Source characters in IRGN1528; I once provided the clearer evidences for some ROK-Submitted characters in IRG WS2015. If the reviewers forget these updates in the following review works or the new reviewers don't know the updates and point out the evidences which have been accepted by IRG are questionable, it will waste the submitters' time explaining again and again, that is not helpful for the IRG works.

On the other hand, the evidence will be also useful for the future working sets review works. In the IRG WS2015 v4.0 review works, Japan pointed out a regrettable unification between WS2015-00852 and U+216A6.

0085	+}	U+5973	5973 ± 38.0	女 ©0-452E	女 HB1-A468	女 T1-444C	女,0-3077	女 K0-5233	女 Maybe minor stroke difference from 女(u+5973) or
2	2 UTC-01652	U+216A6	216A6 ± 38.1	女 UC\$2003	女 v2-736D				女(u+216a6)

The current source reference for U+216A6 is V2-736D which means this character is cited from VHN 01:1998 according to the IRG PnP, but we don't have the original evidence or information. This situation misled the sincere reviewers to point out the regrettable request. I trust if the Japanese experts knew U+216A6 which means "courtesan" in Vietnamese or "vulva" in Chinese and WS2015-00852 are non-cognates, they would delete this unification at that time.

All in all, the clear evidences will help the reviewers do the right decision more easily, that will improve the IRG works efficiency as what Mr. John Knightley wrote in the beginning of IRGN1357: "Presenting information and evidence about proposed new characters in a full and clear way, is essential for the IRG to carry out its work efficiency".

Therefore, I request to create the IRG Working Set Final Evidence Document (IWFED or FED) as below.

Seq. No.	Ref. 1	IRG Doc	File Name or Page
00002	GHC-00004	IRGN2228R	GHC-00004.jpg
00003	UK-10703	IRGN2232	UK-10703.png
00004	GXM-00417	IRGN2228R	GXM-00417.jpg
05000	G_Z4262303	IRGN2309ChinaCorrectionDataFiles.zip; IRGN2115_Appendix7_1268_Zhuang_Evidences.pdf	GZ-4262303.bmp; Page 1059
00005	UK-10142	IRGN2232	UK-10142.png
00006	UK-10468	IRGN2232	UK-10468.png
00007	GZ-4262306	IRGN2228R	GZ-4262306.jpeg
00008	UK-10367	IRGN2232	UK-10367.png
00009	V-F1634	IRGN2241R	V-F1634.jpg
00010	GZ-2761101	IRGN2228R	GZ-2761101.jpeg
05001	G_PGLG1003	IRGN2309ChinaCorrectionDataFiles.zip; IRGN2115_Appendix7_1268_Zhuang_Evidences.pdf	GPGLG-1003.bmp; Page 3
05002	G_Z2542101	IRGN2309ChinaCorrectionDataFiles.zip; IRGN2115_Appendix7_1268_Zhuang_Evidences.pdf	GZ-2542101.bmp; Page 649

When the chief editor releases the new version of IRG Working Set, this document would be submitted to the IRG register for reviewing and checking by all the reviewers and experts, and then Mr. Henry Chan could use this document to update the evidences in his review system. At the end, the IRG Working Set Final Evidence Document for the final round review works of an IRG working set should be added to the IWDS when the corresponding CJK Unified Ideographs Extension becomes stable.

I have finished the IRG Working Set Final Evidence Document for IRG WS2017 v2.0 as the attachment.

(End of Document)