



Feedback to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N2146
Japan Position to ISO/IEC 10646 5th CD2 Ballot Comment

1. Ballot comments on J-source Characters from other members

#	UCS	WG2 N4713	Japan position
1 a)	2CF16 乙 5.1 此 JMJ-057888 2CF16	Propose accepted 5.1 -> 5.3	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (5.1) to 5.3.
1 b)	2CF25 乙 5.6 𠄎 𠄎 JMJ-057893 2CF25	Propose accepted 5.6 -> 5.8	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (5.6) to 5.8.
1 f)	2D170 卜 25.5 𠄎 JMJ-058577 2D170	Propose accepted 25.5 -> 25.4	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (25.5) to 25.4.
1 p)	2DA5F 木 75.3 𠄎 JMJ-059709 2DA5F	Propose accepted 75.3 -> 75.4	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (75.3) to 75.4.
1 ae)	2E37A 白 134.10 興 JMJ-060094 2E37A	Propose accepted in principle 134.10 -> 134.9	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (134.10) to 134.9. The radical component of 2E37A is outer component.
1 af)	2E38A 舛 136.5 𠄎 𠄎 JMJ-058426 2E38A	Propose accepted 136.5 -> 136.4	Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (136.5) to 136.4.










#	UCS	WG2 N4713	Japan position
1 al)	<p>2E822 酉 164.2 𩇛 JMJ-058883</p> <p>2E822</p>	<p>Propose accepted in principle 164.2 -> 164.3</p>	<p>Japan agrees with changing Rad.SC (164.2) to 164.3 2E820 Rad.SC is 164.1, hence Rad.SC of 2E822 should be 164.3.</p> <p>2E820 酉 164.1 𩇛 JMJ-058880</p>
3.5	<p>2E41B 艸 140.10 𩇛 JMJ-022574</p> <p>2E41B</p>	IRG discussion	<p>Japan does not accept proposal. The glyph shape of JMJ-022574 is appropriately designed in accordance with a design policy of IPAmjMincho Font. See the following example:</p> <p>走 MJ025169 U+8D70 徒 MJ011311 U+5F92</p>
3.13	<p>2DF02 疋 103.4 𩇛 JMJ-058113</p> <p>2DF02</p>	IRG discussion	<p>Japan does not accept proposal. The glyph of JMJ-058113 is appropriately and intentionally designed in accordance with the glyph shape of evidence (Shin-Daijiten #10247).</p> <p>10247 𩇛 10246 𩇛 の俗字</p>

#	UCS	WG2 N4713	Japan position
3.15	2D16F 卜 25.5  JMJ-058579 2D16F	IRG discussion	The glyph shape of JMJ-058579 is appropriately designed in accordance with a design policy of IPAmjMincho Font. 

2. Ballot comments from Japan

Following to WG2 M64.11 “WG2 recommends that IRG reviews its CJK unification rules to minimize the number of glyph variants that are coded as separate characters.”, Japan proposed the unifications for 48 CJK F characters. Out of those characters, #19 and #20 below are closely related to the comment by UK.

Japan commented the characters on left column and the characters on right column should be unified.

19	2DB0F 木 75.12  KC-07047	69FB 木 75.11      G3-416A HB2-E5E2 T2-512B J0-4450 K0-5027
20	2DB54 欠 76.8  JMJ-057882	238C7 欠 76.7   UCS2003 GHZ-80027.22

On the other hand, UK commented the following two characters should not be unified.

CJK F	Comment
-------	---------

<p>2E1F5 米 119.9</p> <p>𪗇 𪗇</p> <p>JMJ-058296 USAT-00062</p>	<p>U+2E1F5. The two source glyphs (JMJ-058296 and USAT-00062) have different left hand components, which would not seem to be unifiable. Compare U+2DB74 (USAT-00811) and U+2E00D (USAT-02508) which have the same difference in left hand component, but are not unified.</p>
--	--

The decision on whether these two characters are unified or not unified should be consistent. Therefore, if IRG experts reach the consensus not to unify JMJ-058296 and USAT-00062, Japan withdraws the #19 and #20.

(end of document)