## csluqin@comp.polyu.edu.hk

From: Henry Chan <henry.fai.hang.chan@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, 11 October 2016 12:30 AM

To:csluqin@comp.polyu.edu.hkSubject:(1) Feedback to IRGN2171

Date: 2016/10/11 Source: Henry Chan

Type: Individual Contribution Title: Feedback to IRGN2171

Meeting: IRG #47

For Rules 2 - 4, it may be better to generalize  $\pm$  (U+3404) to be 3 strokes.

For Rule 5, 延, it may be better to assign a total stroke count of 8. By etymology the top right hand component is from 止 (feet); there is no reason from an etymological point of view why the component is written with 3 strokes instead of 4. In the code chart, 3 regions out of 6 use an actual total stroke count of 8.

卸 also contains the same 3-stroke component in Kangxi Dictionary. 4 out of 6 regions used an actual stroke count of 7 instead of 6.

時 on the other hand are 4-strokes in the Kangxi Dictionary. The reason for varying the stroke only when the component is at the bottom left corner or top right corner is more or less an inconsistency in Kangxi Dictionary itself.

For Rule 7, 成, the character's phonetic component is 丁. A stroke count of 7 would more accurately preserve the pronunciation.

-- Henry