

Korea JTC1/SC2, Committee on Coded Character Sets

Authors: SHIN Sanghyun; KIM Kyongsok

Date: 2018.05.21.

Subject: KR Response1 to IRG N2237 Duplicate Characters in Ext.F

1. IRGN2237 DuplicateCharactersinExt.F, Jaemin CHUNG

- U+247EC (Extension B) and U+2DE17 (Extension F) are duplicates.

247EC 犬 94.9	獾	獾	獾
	UCS2003	GKX-0716.02	T6-4B3E
2DE17 犬 94.9	獾		
	KC-06493		

2. KR Evidence for KC-06493





3. Discussion Records for KC06493 in V2, V3, and V4 of Ext. F.2

(See Response to IRG N2237 by Henry Chan)



1) the glyph in IRG N2018 Extension F.2 V2

	94.0						
	犬						
	9						

2) the glyph and discussion Record in IRG N2044 Extension F.2 V3

	94.0						font not changed, evidence accepted, irg43.
	犬						
	9	3					



3) the glyph and discussion Record in IRG N2088 Extension F.2 V4

	94.0						font not changed, evidence accepted, irg43.
	犬						
	9	3					





4) the glyph in IRG N2156 Code Table

2DE17	
犬 94.9	
	KC-06493

4. Conclusion

1) In Ext F proposal, KR initially proposed , which is a normalized glyph for  in the evidence.

- see KR Norml. Rules #53-1 and 53-3

2) During review process, it was pointed out that the proposed glyph  is not the same as the glyph  in evidence. As a result, the glyph was modified as . However, it was not noticed that the glyph  was already encoded as U+247EC (Extension B).

3) Now, it is pointed out that U+2DE17 (Ext.F) and U+247EC (Ext.B) are duplicates.

4) KR suggests that the glyph of U+2DE17 be modified as , the initially proposed glyph.

* * *