TCA Response to Consolidated Comments on IRGN2269 WS2015 v. 5.0

TCA will update 9 glyphs:

SN 1	Unicode	Pdam2.2	Update	Note
02044	U+30714			Match the glyph in WS2015 v5
02472	U+3088F			Match the glyph in WS2015 v5
02970	U+30A4D			Correct by TS(=9), insert space between 禾＆丰.
02972	U+30A4F			Match the glyph in WS2015 v5
04250	U+30EE4			Modify “辛” shape
04265	U+30EF3			Modify“  ” shape
04292	U+30F0D			Modify“  ” shape
04296	U+30F09			Modify“  ” shape
04298	U+30F0B			Modify“  ” shape

1. Unification

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
02338 02339	T13-2D60 GHZR42545.08	U+2457C U+24DA5 U+253C2		2457C 疒 90.7 UCS2003 GKX-0692.04 T6-415E 24DA5 疒 104.7 UCS2003 GKX-0774.25 T6-4B5E 253C2 矢 111.6 UCS2003 GKX-0824.28 T6-425A S.1.5.b, S.1.5.c cf. UCV #337 337 廉 廉	**Disagree.** 1.02338 and 02339 should not be unified. According to The MOE's Dictionary of Chinese character variants, 02339 was not included. And cf. U+270BE & U+270F2 270BE 艸 140.16 UCS2003 TF-6623 270F2 艸 140.17 UCS2003 G4K 2. 02338 should not be unified with U+2457C、U+24DA5、U+253C2.
02693	GHZR52716.02 T13-2E78	U+25049		25049 皿 108.4 UCS2003 GKX-0793.10 T6-332D S.1.5.b cf. UCV #83, #182 182 毋 毋 母 83 毋 田	**Disagree.** Cf. U+8CAB & U+27D57, same situation but not be unified. 8CAB 貝 154.4 G1-3961 HB1-B365 T1-5D4B J0-3453 K0-4E3B V1-6823 27D57 貝 154.5 UCS2003 TF-3F42
01929	T13-2C4A	U+6C23		6C23 气 84.6 G1-4678 HB1-AEF0 T1-5634 J0-5D66 K0-5128 V1-5B59 S.1.5.c	No UCV can be found. Component of the two glyphs are different, one is 未, and one is 米.
01934	T13-2C4E	01933	T13-2C4D	Cognate glyphs with a single overshoot.	**Agree.** **Keep 01933（T13-2C4D）**
01937	T13-2C50	U+23C75		23C75 水 85.2 UCS2003 GKX-0603.08 T6-252B S.1.5.a, S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
01982	沧 T13-2C62	U+6CA7 U+23CC1		6CA7 水 85.4 沧 G0-3257 23CC1 水 85.5 沧 UCS2003 沧 GKX-0619.07 沧 T6-2C5A S.1.5.a, S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.
01969	涓 T13-2C58	U+23CEE		23CEE 水 85.6 涓 UCS2003 涓 GKX-0623.06 涓 T6-323A 涓 V0-3B39 S.1.5.b	**Disagree.** Cf. U+2C509 & U+2E0FB, same situation but not be unified. 2C509 穴 116.6 宫 GZJW-00560 2E0FB 穴 116.5 宫 JMJ-058242
02021	彩 T13-2C6D	U+6D81		6D81 水 85.7 淚 G5-5552 淚 H-8DDA 淚 T3-3221 淚 J4-6E57 S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.
02031	溥 T13-2C78	U+6EA5		6EA5 水 85.10 溥 G0-645F 溥 HB1-B7C1 溥 T1-6467 溥 J0-5E70 溥 K0-5D2A S.1.5.b, S.1.5.i cf. UCV #68 68 甫 甫	**Disagree.** UCV is two part different
02037	漕 T13-2C75	02061	漕 T13-2D21	Cognate glyphs with an extra stroke.	No UCV can be found.
02050	深 T13-2C73	02049	深 T13-2C72	The evidence suggests that the actual shapes of the two characters are only different in contact of strokes. 	**Disagree.** The right part of the character is ⿱穴木(U+7A7C) and ⿱宀米(U+5BA9) respectively.
02139	灊 T13-2D31	U+240F9		240F9 水 85.17 灊 UCS2003 灊 GKX-0662.04 灊 T7-4E2D S.1.5.i cf. NUCV #294 294 厤 厤	No UCV can be found.

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
02159	灸 T13-2D33	U+7078		7078 火 86.3 灸 灸 灸 灸 灸 灸 G0-3E44 HB1-A862 T1-4B25 J0-3564 K0-4F36 V1-5D6F S.1.5.c Characters with this component are unified/postponed in IRG #49.	No UCV can be found.
02305	爫 T13-2D57	U+722A		722A 爪 87.0 爪 爪 爪 爪 爪 爪 G0-5726 HB1-A4F6 T1-4557 J0-445E K0-7050 V1-5E4A S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.
02393	𣦸 T13-2D6A 𣦸 UK-01584	U+80B0		80B0 肉 130.4 肰 肰 肰 G5-4E72 T3-2A52 K2-5521 cf.UCV #21 The evidence suggests that the left part is a variation of 月 similar to the top of 炙 (or &P4-02; in IRGN2225). 	**Disagree.** Although the left part is a variant of 月 (meat), it is not easy to link with 月 because it is written like 勻, so it should not be unified with U+80B0.
02453	玲 T13-2D7A	U+73CD U+73CE		73CD 玉 96.5 珍 珍 珍 珍 珍 珍 G0-5564 HB1-ACC3 T1-5246 J0-4441 K0-7252 V1-5F25 73CE 玉 96.5 珎 珎 珎 珎 珎 GE-3334 H-FBD7 T3-2D7E J0-605F K2-4765 IRGN2133 does not explain why the evidence is identifiable as 玉; we could not find the discussion record. 	No UCV can be found.
02457	珏 T13-2D7B	U+24934		24934 玉 96.5 珏 珏 珏 UCS2003 GHZ-80018.21 H-9C58	No UCV can be found. See the new evidence from 《隸辨》Vol. 6, the glyph match the evidence.

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
				The evidence shows the dot at just right side on 王; IRGN2133 does not explain why the evidence is identifiable as 玊. 	
02480	琴 T13-2E28	U+2AEF4		2AEF4 玉 96.9 琴 TD-4024 S.1.5.a	**Disagree.** These two characters have different sources: 02480 is the variant of 琴, and U+2AEF4 is the name. Unable to prove that the two glyphs are cognate.

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
02491	璣 T13-2E2E	U+74A3		 S.1.5.e	No UCV can be found.
0253 1 (T)	 T13-2E36	U+7528 (G, H, T, J, K, KP, V)	 G0-5343 HB1-A5CE T1-466E J0-4D51 K0-6944 KP0-FACE V1-5F48	T13-2E36 and 用(U+7528) are non-cognates. I request to disunify them. T13-2E36 is the transcription of the original form of 周, which the glyph of the Bronze script is  and it's not related to 用. On the other hand, the glyph of 用 is  . These two characters have different sources, so it's not well to unify them. I have found out T13-2E36 was used on 德鼎(02266), 小臣夌鼎(02411), 無叀鼎(02478) and so on. 《商周青铜器銘文暨圖像集成》, P. 3 【銘文釋文】隹(唯)三月王才(在)成周(周),祉(誕)珷(武王)祼(祼)自蒿(王易(錫)徝(德)貝廿朋,用乍(作)寶障(尊)彝。  If IRG and TCA could accept this new evidence and explanation, the glyph should be modified a little to 	**Keep be unified**

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
				土 shownas bellow, the IDS should be changed to “⿰丁土”as well, and the radical should be “32 土”, SC= 2, FS=3. 	
02542	男 T13-2E3C	U+7537		7537 田 102.2 男 男 男 男 男 男 G0-4450 HB1-A86B T1-4B2E J0-434B K0-517B V1-5F4D S.1.5.i Seemingly disunified in IRG #47 but we could not find the record	According to “IRGN2269IRG_Working_Set2015v5”, “not unified by U+7537, irg47. unified by U+7537, irg46.”
02549	黒 T13-2E3E	U+24C30 U+24C32		24C30 田 102.4 黒 黒 黒 UCS2003 GKX-0760.26 T6-327A 24C32 田 102.4 黒 黒 黒 UCS2003 GKX-0760.28 T6-3965 S.1.5.b/S.1.5.i, S.1.5.f	**Agree.** 02549 is unified with U+24C30.
02551	畱 T13-2E40	U+7559		7559 田 102.5 留 留 留 留 留 留 G0-4174 HB1-AF64 T1-5667 J0-4E31 K0-573A V1-5F50 卯 disunified to 夘 02553 disunified to 留 S.1.5.a, cf. IRGN2263 	No UCV can be found.
02552	畐 T13-2E41	U+24C3B		24C3B 田 102.4 畐 畐 UCS2003 TF-2C49	**Agree.**

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
				cf. UCV #152 	
02595	疚 T13-2E4E	U+759A		759A 广 104.3 疚 疚 疚 疚 疚 疚 G0-3E4E HB1-AAB9 T1-4E7A J0-614C K1-5A5D V1-5F5D S.1.5.c Characters with this component are unified/postponed in IRG #49.	No UCV can be found.
02597	疾 T13-2E50	02596	疾 T13-2E4F	Cognate glyphs with a single overshoot.	No UCV can be found. Cf. 3901 心 61.4 忿 GKX-0377.09 忿 T3-287B 225E4 心 61.4 忿 UCS2003 忿 TF-2678 24907 玉 96.4 玦 UCS2003 玦 GHZ-21103.02 739E 玉 96.4 玦 G5-4173 玦 H-91D4 玦 T3-2A2D 玦 J1-4B59 玦 K1-623B
02598	病 T13-2E51	U+75C5		75C5 广 104.5 病 病 病 病 病 病 G0-3221 HB1-AF66 T1-5669 J0-4942 K0-5C3B V1-5F64 S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found. Cf.
02635	癖 T13-2E5A	U+7656		7656 广 104.13 癖 癖 癖 癖 癖 癖 G0-7131 HB1-C27D T1-7669 J0-4A4A K0-5B7E V2-8E31 Apparent displacement. If this is not the glyph corresponds to U+7656, the evidence does not provide the exact match shape to the modern form. 	 The evidence shows the glyph is ⿸疒辟 clearly.
02644	癸 T13-2E60	U+7678		7678 癶 105.4 癸 癸 癸 癸 癸 癸 G0-396F HB1-ACD1 T1-5254 J0-6223 K0-4D24 V1-5F7C S.1.5.b	No UCV can be found.

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
02652	皇 T13-2E66	U+7687		7687 白 106.4 皇 皇 皇 皇 皇 皇 G0-3B4A HB1-ACD3 T1-5256 J0-3944 K0-7C55 V1-6025 S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.
02655	皇 T13-2E67	U+2690D		2690D 自 132.5 皇 皇 皇 UCS2003 GKX-1000.22 T6-4365 S.1.5.i cf. UCV #295 295 缶 缶	The upper part of 02655 is 白, and the upper part of U+2690 is 自. No UCV can be found.
02662	冨 T13-2E6A	U+26918		26918 自 132.9 冨 冨 冨 UCS2003 GKX-1000.29 T4-4C60 Cognate glyphs with an extra stroke.	No UCV can be found.
02685	盃 T13-2E72	02689	盆 T13-2E76	Cognate glyphs with minor variation. S.1.5.a/S.1.5.c/S.1.5.i	Disagree. 02689 is also the variant of 盈 (U+76C8).
02687	盃 T13-2E74				
02690	盃 T13-2E75				
02697	盡 T13-2E77	U+2505E		2505E 皿 108.6 盡 盡 盡 UCS2003 GKX-0794.05 T4-334C S.1.5.i	No UCV can be found.
02698	盛 T13-2E7A	U+76DB		76DB 皿 108.6 盛 盛 盛 盛 盛 盛 G0-4A22 HB1-B2B1 T1-5C36 J0-4039 K0-607C V1-6032 S.1.5.e	戍(U+620C) & 成(U+6210) , no UCV can be found.
02728	亶 T13-2F26	02723	亶 T13-2F24	Cognate glyphs with an extra stroke.	**Agree.**
02789	矇 T13-2F38	U+77C7		77C7 目 109.14 矇 矇 矇 矇 矇 矇 G1-7857 HB1-C3A9 T1-7854 J0-625E K1-6060 V1-6055	According to "IRGN2269IRG_Working_Set2015v5", "not unified to 77C7 矇, irg49. not unified to 77C7

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
				The evidence does not show how distinctive the stroke would be in Clerical Script. 	矇, irg48.”  （http://dict.variants.moe.edu.tw/variants/rbt/variant_example_tiles.rbt?pageId=2981941）
02800	 T13-2F3B	U+229B5		 The evidence suggests that 戈 is on the top-right rather than right above.	**Disagree.** The evidence of U+229B5 is as follows. 

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
02917	祿 T13-2F51	U+7950		7950 示 113.5 祐 祐 祐 祐 祐 G3-5726 HB1-AFA7 T1-572A J0-4D34 K0-695E What the evidence in Clerical Script shows is merely rotational variation and not distinctive in modern script. 	**Disagree.** 
02921	祩 T13-2F56	U+795E		795E 示 113.5 神 神 神 神 神 神 G0-4971 HB1-AFAB T1-572E J0-3F40 K0-636A V1-6121 The evidence suggests that the shape is a curved 申 with a	**Disagree.** 02921 is further deformed by 神 **, but**

SN 1	Glyph 1	SN 2/UCS	Glyph 2	Comment	Response
				dot. 	**according to the evidence for this shape** 衹.
03763	盃 T13-3070	U+076C7 U+21603		Possible unification with u+21603 or u+76c7 76C7 皿 108.4 盃 GE-345B 盃 T3-2E32 21603 大 37.5 盃 UCS2003	According to "IRGN2269IRG_Working_Set2015v5", "not unified with U+76C7 or U+2504B(盃), ids corrected, irg49."
03966	竑 T13-3122	u+767b		Possible unification with u+767b 767B 癶 105.7 登 G0-3547 登 HB1-B56E 登 T1-6074 登 J0-4550 登 K0-5474 登 V1-5F7D	No UCV can be found.

2. Radical

SN	Image	Comment	Response
02087	滿 T13-2D26	Change to R.140 艸 SC=11 Variant of U+2B257 （⿰氵艹涌)which is R.140	No change, keep R.85 水. 02087 is a variant of 滿(U+6EFF). So, according to the radical of 滿, 02087 is also classified as R.85 水.
02038	溫 T13-2C79	Change to R.108 皿 SC=7 Use the most obvious radical so it is convenient for users to find the character	No change, keep R.85 水. 02038 is a variant of 溫(U+6EAB). So, according to the radical of 溫, 02038 is also classified as R.85 水. In addition, the meaning of 02038 is not highly connected with the meaning of the vessel(皿).
02039	溫 T13-2C7A	Change to R.108 皿 SC=7 Use the most obvious radical so it is convenient for users to find the character	No change, keep R.85 水. 02039 is a variant of 溫(U+6EAB). So, according to the radical of 溫, 02039 is also

SN	Image	Comment	Response
			classified as R.85 水. In addition, the meaning of 02039 is not highly connected with the meaning of the vessel(皿).
02393	𤰔 T13-2D6A 𤰔 UK-01584 Radical: 094.0	Radical → 130.0 (肉) The character is related to 然 (U+7136), thus to 肰(U+80B0).	No change, keep R.94(犬). Since the left part is a variant of 月 is written like a, it is written like 勻 and is not easily linked, so it is classified as R.94(犬).
02437	𣏌 T13-2D76	Change to R.30 口 SC=12	No change, keep R.94(犬).
02849	𥈡 T13-2F46	Change to R.107 皮 SC=6	No change, keep R.112(石).
02972	𥑮 T13-2F68	Change to R.127 耒 SC=4 Discussion record: keep radical 耒, irg46.	Agree, and TCA will update the glyph. Glyph in PDAM2.2 is wrong. 30A4F 禾 115.5 𥑮 T13-2F68
02997	𥙿 T13-2F71	Change to R.72 日 SC=9	No change, keep R.115(禾).
03009	𣪊 T13-2F74	Change to R.79 殳 SC=11	No change. The meaning of the character is related to “禾(Cereal plants).” 

SN	Image	Comment	Response
03334 (T)	冗 T13-303C	Eiso: The radical should be changed to "14 冖" not "17 凵" according to the discussion record. 冗 T13-303C | raidical 14冖, sc 7, irg49. UK: Change to R.14 冖 SC=7. Discussion record: radical 14 冖, sc 7, irg49	The radical need to be changed to"122 网（罒 ⺲ ）", and SC＝5. EX, 7F55 网 122.3 罕 罕 罕 罕 罕 罕 G0-3A31 HB1-A875 T1-4B38 J0-6627 K0-7956 V2-8E77

3. Evidences

S/N	Glyph	Evidence	Comment	Response
02309	舭 T13-2D59		**Questionable evidence.** The left hand side does not look like a usual realization of 爪. It is probably a variant of 丌.	The left part like 爪 is a variant of 示, not related to丌. The process of changing from 示 to 爪 is as follows. 
02315	脯 T13-2D5B		**Questionable evidence.** The left hand side does not look like a usual realization of 爪. It is probably a variant of 丌. Also looks like 補 (U+25673).	The left part like 爪 is a variant of 示, not related to丌. The process of changing from 示 to 爪 is as follows. 
02463	皇 T13-2E22		**Evidence unclear.** Too small to identify some strokes. Is there any supporting evidence?	See the evidence, from 《廣碑別字》 

S/N	Glyph	Evidence	Comment	Response
02471	珮 T13-2E24		**Evidence unclear.** Too small to identify some strokes. Is there any supporting evidence?	See the evidence, from 《廣碑別字》 
02472	班 T13-2E23		**Evidence unclear.** It is not clearly rendered as 夕 within the evidence. It could also be 班 with an extra stroke.	

S/N	Glyph	Evidence	Comment	Response
02702	盟 T13-2E7C		**Evidence unclear.** The top part is not unambiguous enough to support the proposed glyph; it could be double 日, double 月, or 明 (which the right character on this image is supposed to have?)	See the evidence, from 《廣碑別字》 
02964	萬 T13-2F64		**Not modern form.** The character shows a stylistic variation in Clerical Script (隸書), which is not necessarily rendered into the proposed shape in Regular Script (楷書).	No change the glyph. There are no triangular strokes  in Regular Script, so it is divided into two strokes.

4. Font designs& normalizations

SN	Image	Comment	Response
04250	孰 T13-312E 160 辛 □辛丸 3	As shown in the evidence  , the last stroke of the left component should be a slash rather than a vertical stroke. Modification should be made to reflect the actual shape of the glyph. Discussion record: not unified by U+57F7 (unless additional examples found), irg47.	TCA will change the glyph.
02044	30714 水 85.9 滃 T13-2C76	Henry: Glyph in PDAM2.2 does not match WS2015 v5, the glyph should be □氵勃. Change the source reference to T13-3463 and correct the Stroke Count, Component, Cangjie, and Stroke order for T13-2C76 at http://cns11643.gov.tw/AIDB/query_general_view.do?page=13&code=2C76. J: Glyph is intentionally changed from WS2015 to non-unifiable form although	TCA will update the font 滃 T13-2C76

SN	Image	Comment	Response
		no discussion record. Wrong SC. 	
02970		KR suggests to insert space between upper and lower components.	No change the glyph.
03052		Broken Stroke in PDAM2.2 	The glyph should be OK. 
03408		Glyph in PDAM2.2 has missing stroke. 	The glyph should be OK. 
04723	 T13-314A ExtG U+31091	The 5th through 8th strokes of the 雨 component do not follow TW conventions	No change, the glyph should match the evidence. 
04729	 T13-314B ExtG U+31095	The 5th through 8th strokes of the 雨 component do not follow TW conventions	No change, the glyph should match the evidence. 
04924		The upper-right component does not follow TW conventions, and should be four strokes, not three	No change, the glyph should match the evidence.

SN	Image	Comment	Response
	T13-314F ExtG U+31151		
04931	 T13-3150 ExtG U+3115D	The upper-right component does not follow TW conventions, and should be four strokes, not three	No change, the glyph should match the evidence. 

5. SC & TS

SN	Image	Comment	Response
02964	 T13-2F64 114 内 艸禺 10 丨	SC=8, TS=13 IRGN954AR #36:  IRGN2221 #11:  Discussion record: sc 10 (禺5), irg49. sc 11, ir48. not unified to U+0842C,	Agree
03367	 T13-3044 1	SC=3, TS=7. IRGN2223 IRG Working Set 2015 v4.0: 	Disagree
03550	 T13-305D 7	SC=4, TS=8 IRGN954AR #36:  Discussion record: glyph no change, irg49.	Agree

6. IDS

SN	Image	Comment	Response
05039 (G, T)	高亘 GHZR84895.21 高亘 T13-3153	The IDS should be changed to "⿰高亘/⿰高亘" from "⿰高亘". UTC: Two IDSes, ⿰高亘 [G] and ⿰高亘 [T], should be specified for this character, one for each source	Agree
03367	孝 T13-3044	With reference to the attributes 125 老 ⿰耂乚 -1 丨 of 老 T13-3043 (S/N 03366), the IDS should be ⿰耂于.	Agree
03528	朖 T13-3056	Given the left component is 冂 (U+2E86) rather than 冂, it would be more appropriate to change the IDS to ⿰冂良. Discussion record: not unified with U+6716, irg49. explanation of evi accepted, irg47.	Agree
01954 (T)	汥 T13-2C56 IDS: ⿰氵亦	The IDS should be changed to "⿰氵夵" from "⿰氵亦" because the glyph has been updated to match the evidence.	Agree
01973	洼 T13-2C60 IDS: ⿰氵⿻王八	IDS →⿰氵至 306D8 水 85.6 洼 T13-2C60	Disagree. IDS corrected, irg49.We think original（⿰氵⿻王八） is better. 至(U+2124A)is ⿱一坐, unlike the right component. 2124A 土 32.3 至 UCS2003 至 GKX-0224.14 至 T6-2435

SN	Image	Comment	Response
02471	珮 T13-2E24 IDS: ⿰玉⿰几市	IDS →⿰玉⿰几市 Original data corrupted.	The IDS change to ⿰玉⿰几市
02505	爪 T13-2E31 IDS: ⿻爪丨	IDS →⿻爪丨	Agree
02958	禧 T13-2F62	The IDS should be ⿰示熹. Evidence: 	Agree
02972 (T)	秤 T13-2F68	Eiso: The IDS should be changed to "≠⿰禾升" from "⿰耒升". T13-2F68 is the variant of 科 (U+79D1) according to the evidence, so the left component is the variant of 禾 not 耒 and the radical "115 禾" should be kept. HK: Given that the vertical stroke of the left component does not overshoot at stroke initiation, the IDS should be ⿰禾升. Code chart:  Discussion record: kepp radical 耒, irg46.	The IDS change to ⿰禾升, and the radical keep 禾(115).
03554	衩 T13-3060	The IDC and the upper component are missing from the IDS, which should be ⿱廾衣.	Agree
03966	登 T13-3122	Should the IDS be ⿱⿰癶又豆? Code chart:  Discussion record: font updated, irg48. font changed, irg47	Agree

SN	Image	Comment	Response
04251	 T13-312F	The right component is not 艮. The IDS should be ⿰⿱辛丨⿺一く. Discussion record: not unified by U+5831 (unless additional examples irg47.	Change to ⿰辛⿸卩く (Like:U+20B1D艮 ⿸卩又)
05547	 T13-3159	⿰⿱亼口昌→⿰⿱亼口冊昌	⿰龠昌 is better.

(End of document)